International application No.

PCT/JP2004/003650

			2004/003030
A CLASSIFIC	CATION OF SUBJECT MATTER 7 C08F16/00, C08F8/00, C08L29/0	00, G03F7/40	
According to Int	ternational Patent Classification (IPC) or to both nationa	l classification and IPC	······································
B. FIELDS SE			,
Minimum docur	nentation searched (classification system followed by classification syste	assification symbols) 08F6/00-6/28, C08L29/00	-29/14,
Jitsuyo Kokai J	itsuyo Shinan Koho 1971-2004 Ji	roku Jitsuyo Shinan Koho tsuyo Shinan Toroku Koho	1994–2004 1996–2004
Electronic data t	pase consulted during the international search (name of o	data base and, where practicable, search to	erms used)
C. DOCUMEN	NTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where ap	· ·	Relevant to claim No.
Х	JP 3-200805 A (Sekisui Chemi 02 September, 1991 (02.09.91) Claims; page 2, lower left coexamples (Family: none)	r	3-4
х	JP 51-125154 A (Kuraray Co., 01 November, 1976 (01.11.76), Claims; page 1, lower left coright column, lines 15 to 19; (Family: none)	olumn; page 2, lower	3-4
	ocuments are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.	
* Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art  "&" document member of the same patent family	
07 Jun	al completion of the international search e, 2004 (07.06.04)	Date of mailing of the international sea 22 June, 2004 (22.	rch report 06.04)
	ng address of the ISA/ se Patent Office	Authorized officer	
Facsimile No.	TO (second cheet) (Innuer, 2004)	Telephone No.	
rom-r C1/10A/2-	10 (second-sheet) (January-2004)	······································	

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of second sheet) (January 2004)

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/003650

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	JP 51-125155 A (Kuraray Co., Ltd.), 01 November, 1976 (01.11.76), Claims; page 1, lower left column; page 2, lower right column, line 19 to page 3, upper left column, line 3; examples (Family: none)	3-4
X	JP 36-22145 B (Kurashiki Rayon Kabushiki Kaisha), 16 November, 1961 (16.11.61), Claims; examples (Family: none)	3-4
Х	JP 63-278911 A (The Nippon Synthetic Chemical Industry Co., Ltd.), 16 November, 1988 (16.11.88), Claims; examples (Family: none)	3
А	JP 2001-19860 A (Clariant International Ltd.), 23 January, 2001 (23.01.01), Claims & EP 1152036 A1 & US 6555607 B1 & WO 2001-735 A1	1-2
A	JP 2001-89520 A (Sekisui Chemical Co., Ltd.), 03 April, 2001 (03.04.01), Claims (Family: none)	3-5
·		

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/003650

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. Claims Nos.:  because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.:  because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  A matter common to the subject matters of claims 1-5 is "a modified polyvinyl alcohol protected by a protective group, wherein the content of high-molecular components having a weight-average molecular weight, as determined through measurement by gel permeation chromatography ···, of 250,000 or higher is up to 1,000 ppm."  However, as a result of a search, it has become obvious that the modified polyvinyl alcohol is not novel because it is disclosed in document JP 3-200805 A (Sekisui Chemical Co., Ltd.) 1991.09.02; the claims; page 2, lower left column, lines 2 to 6; Example; etc. (continued to extra sheet)
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. X As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/003650

# Continuation of Box No.III of continuation of first sheet(2)

As a result, the common matter is considered to be within the scope of the prior art. Consequently, this common matter is not regarded as a special technical feature in the meaning of the second sentence in Rule 13.2 of the Regulations under the PCT.

Therefore, it is obvious that the subject matters of claims 1-5 do not comply with the requirement of unity of invention. Incidentally, the International Preliminary Examining Authority considers that the scope in which the requirement of unity of invention is satisfied is as follows.

Claims 1-2 or claims 3-5